Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/858,116	SHALVI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Guy J. Lamarre, P.E.	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communicat GHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to 7/19/04.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12</u> .		
3.   The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner	т.	
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <ul> <li>a)  All  b)  Some* c)  None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul></li></ul>		
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail [ 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amer	Date

Ý

## **Reasons For Allowance**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed on 7/19/04 in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission of 5/10/04 has been entered.

- 0.1 Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are amended, Claims 7-12 are added. Claims 1-12 remain pending.
- **0.2** The rejections to **Claims 1-12** and objections of record are <u>withdrawn</u> in response to Applicants' Amendment of 5/10/04.

## **Response to Arguments**

1. Applicants' arguments of 5/10/04 are found persuasive. As a result, Claims 1-12 are allowed as follows.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-12 are allowable over the prior art.
- 2.1 The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record is exemplified by 'IEEE 802.14a High-Capacity Physical Layer Specification Draft 1 Revision 4' (herein after **D1r4**) in view of '802.14a Sub-Working Group's Ballot Decision 2 file' (hereinafter **Ballot 2**).

**D1r4** teaches of inserting the preamble symbols "every v= ... symbols starting from the first symbol. Preamble symbols are located at locations 0, v, 2v, 3v, ... (1<sup>st</sup> symbol of the packet is at location 0) on lines 51-56 of page 51 and lines 1-2 of page 52. **D1r4**, at lines 4-5 of page 52, also shows an example of a split and separated preamble type of data packet, with P representing preamble bits and D representing data bits: P D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,116

Art Unit: 2133

**Ballot 2** teaches that adding a split preamble mode (in addition to the interleaved/non-interleaved modes) increases acquisition robustness against impulse noise at page 22, item 4 under 4.2.11 heading. **Ballot 2** also teaches that in the split preamble mode, the preamble will be split into two parts. The first part will be added before the data, and the second part will be inserted after a predefined number of data symbols at pages 22-23, item 4 under 4.2.11 heading.

Thus, in D1r4 and Ballot 2, preamble/sub-preamble and data symbols are interspersed.

**However**, no such art was discovered that discloses, teaches or suggests alone or in combination data packet framing format wherein the preamble is split into a plurality of subpreambles of non-interleaved symbols followed by data symbols, as claimed.

2.2 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## CONCLUSION

- 3. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.
- 3.1 Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (703) 305-9595.

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E Primary Examiner

9/1/04